



# **B-closed Spaces and Fuzzy b-closed Spaces**

## Hamid Reza Moradi\*

Accepted 30<sup>th</sup> December 2016

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to establish and project the theorems which exhibit the characterization of b-closed spaces and obtain some of interesting properties of b-closed spaces. Moreover, fuzzy b-closed spaces are introduced, and some characterization of their properties are obtained.

Keywords: Topological Spaces; b-Closed Spaces; Fuzzy Spaces; Fuzzy b-Closed Spaces.

## 1. Introduction

In [4], the authors introduced the notion of b-closed spaces and investigated its fundamental properties. The concept of b-open sets in fuzzy settings was introduced by Benchalli and Karnel [1]. In this paper, we investigate a class of sets called b- closed sets. We study some of its basic properties. Afterward, we introduce the concept of fuzzy b-closed spaces.

In particular, the notion of generalized b-closed spaces and its various characterizations are given (see Section 2). In Section 3, we study various forms of fuzzy b-closed spaces.

Now, we recall the following definitions which are useful in the sequel.

**Proposition 1.1.** A subset A of a space X is b-open if and only if  $A = B \bigcup C$ , where B is semi-open and C is preopen.

#### **Proposition 1.2.**

(i) Let A and B be subsets of a space X such that  $A \subset B$ . If  $A \in bo(X)$ , then  $A \in bo(B)$ .

(ii) If 
$$A \in bo(B)$$
,  $B \in \alpha o(X)$ , then

 $A \in bo(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** A space X is extremally disconnected if and only if every b-open subset of X is preopen.

**Proposition 1.4.** A space X is strongly irresolvable if and only if every b-open subset of X is semi-open.

**Proposition 1.5.** For a space X, the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is locally indiscrete,
- (ii) Every b-open subset of X is preclosed.

### 2. b-closed Spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A space X is called b-closed if any b-open cover of X has a finite subfamily, the union of the preclosures of whose members covers X.

**Remark 2.2.** Since  $so(X) \cup po(X) \subset bo(X)$ , and

since pclA = A whenever A is semi-open, it is clear that every b-closed space is both S-closed and p-closed. However, the author asks about the existence of a space that is both S-closed and p-closed but not b-closed.

The following two propositions follows from Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 and from the fact that  $pclA = \overline{A}$  whenever A is semi-open.

**Proposition 2.3.** For an extremally disconnected space X, the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is b-closed. (ii) X is p-closed.
- II) Is p-closed.

**Proposition 2.4.** For a strongly irresolvable space X, the following are equivalent:

The following result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.1 and from the fact that  $so(X) \cup po(X) \subset bo(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** A space X is b-closed if and only if any cover of X whose members are semi-open or preopen has a finite subfamily, the union of the preclosures of whose members covers X.

**Lemma 2.6.** A subset A of a space X is b-open if and only if there exists a preopen subset U of X such that  $U \subset A \subset pclU$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** For a space X, the following are equivalent:

(i) X is b-closed.

(ii) Any regular p-open cover of X has a finite subfamily, the union of the preclosures of whose members covers X.

(iii) Any pre-regular p-closed cover of X has a finite subcover.

**Proof.** (i) to (ii): Follows since every regular p-open set is b-open.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author: Email: hamid\_moradi\_68@yahoo.com

(ii) to (iii): Follows since every pre-regular p-closed set is regular p-open and preclosed.

(iii) to (i): Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a b-open cover of X. Then by Lemma 2.6, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there exists a preopen subset  $V_{\alpha}$  of X such that  $V_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha} \subset pclV_{\alpha}$ . Now  $\mathcal{V} = \{pclV_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is pre-regular p-closed cover of X

and thus by (ii), there exists  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in \Lambda$  such that

 $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} pclV_{\alpha_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} pclU_{\alpha_i}$ . Hence, X is bclosed.

The following result follows from the the definition of a b-closed space and from Propositions 2.5 and Theorem 2.7, the straightforward proof is omitted.

**Proposition 2.8.** For a space X, the following are equivalent:

(i) 
$$X$$
 is B-closed.

(ii) For any family  $u = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of b-closed subsets of X such that  $\bigcap = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\} = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\bigcap = \{\text{pint } U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} = \emptyset$ .

(iii) For any family  $u = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  each of whose members is semi-closed or preclosed in X such that  $\bigcap = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\} = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\bigcap = \{\text{pint}U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} = \emptyset$ .

(iv) For any family  $u = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of regular pclosed subsets of X such that  $\bigcap = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\} = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\bigcap = \{\text{pint}U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} = \emptyset$ .

(v) For any family  $u = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of pre-regular popen subsets of X such that  $\bigcap = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\} = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\bigcap = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let A be a subset of a space X. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a b-pre- $\theta$ -accumulation point of A if  $pcl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every b-open subset U of X that contains x. The set of all b- $\theta$ -accumulation points of A is called the b-pre- $\theta$ -closure of A and is denoted by b- $pcl_{\theta}(A)$ . A is said to be b-pre- $\theta$ -closed if b- $pcl_{\theta}(A) = A$ . The complement of a b-pre- $\theta$ -closed set is called b-pre- $\theta$ -open.

It is clear that A is b-pre-  $\theta$  -open if and only if for each  $x\in A$  , there exists a b-open set U such that

 $x \in U \subset pclU \subset A$ , thus, every b-pre- $\theta$ -open set is b-open.

#### Definition 2.10.

(i) A space X is called b-regular if for each b-open subset U of X and for each  $x \in U$  there exists a b-open subset V of X and a b-closed subset F of X such that  $x \in V \subset F \subset U$ .

(ii) A space X is called strongly b-regular if for each bopen subset U of X and for each  $x \in U$  there exists a bopen subset V of X and a preclosed subset F of X such that  $x \in V \subset F \subset U$ .

The following lemma can be easily established.

Lemma 2.11.

(i) A space X is strongly b-regular if and only if every b-open subset of X is b-pre- $\theta$ -open.

(ii) If A is pre-regular p-open, then A is b-pre- $\theta$ -closed.

(iii) 
$$bclA \subset bcl_{\theta}A$$
.

(iv) If A is preopen, then  $bcl_{\theta}A = bclA$ .

Remark 2.12.

(i) The converse of Lemma 2.11 (ii) is not true, e.g. if X is an infinite set and  $\tau_{cof}$  is the cofinite topology on X, then  $in(X, \tau_{cof})$ , every cofinite subset of X is b-pre- $\theta$ -open but not pre-regular p-closed as it is not preclosed (observe that the nonempty b-open (preopen) subsets of  $(X, \tau_{cof})$  are the infinite subsets of X).

It follows also from Proposition 1.5 that every locally indiscrete space is strongly b-regular. The converse is, howere, not true, e.g. if X is an infinite set and  $\tau_{cof}$  is the cofinite topology on X, then in  $(X, \tau_{cof})$ , every b-open subset of X is b-pre- $\theta$ -open. Thus by Proposition 2.11 (i), X is strongly b-regular. Howere,  $(X, \tau_{cof})$  is not locally indiscrete.

**Theorem 2.13.** A space X is b-closed if and only if every b-pre- $\theta$  -open cover of X has a finite subcover.

**Proof.** Suppose that X is b-closed and let  $u = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a b-pre- $\theta$  -open cover of X. Then for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\alpha_x \in \Lambda$  such that  $x \in U_{\alpha x}$ . Since  $U_{\alpha x}$  is b-pre- $\theta$ open, there exists a b-open set  $V_x$  such that  $x \in V_x \subset pclV_x \subset U_{\alpha x}$ , but X is b-closed, so there exists  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha x_i}$ . Sufficiency. Follows from Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.11 (ii).

**Proposition 2.14.** let X be a b-closed, strongly b-regular space. Then X is finite.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.11 (i) and Theorem 2.13, that if X is a B-closed, strongly b-regular space, then every b-open cover of X has a finite subcover. Since

 $so(X) \cup po(X) \subset bo(X)$ , X is both semi-compact and strongly compact. Hence, X is finite.

**Definition 2.15.** A filter base  $\Gamma$  on a space X is said b-pre- $\theta$  converge to a point  $x \in X$  if for each b-open subset U of X such that  $x \in U$ , there exists  $F \in \Gamma$  such that  $F \subset pclU$ . Γ is said to b-pre- $\theta$  -accumulate at  $x \in U$  if  $(pclU) \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for every  $F \in \Gamma$  and for every b-open subset U of X such that  $x \in U$ .

Observe that if a filter base  $\Gamma$  b-pre- $\theta$  -converges to a point  $x \in U$ , then  $\Gamma$  b-pre- $\theta$  -accumulate at x. On the other hand, it is easy to see that a maximal filter base  $\Gamma$  b-pre-heta converges to a point  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  b-pre- $\theta$  accumulate at X.

**Theorem 2.16.** For a space X, the following are equivalent:

(i) X is b-closed.

Every maximal filter base on X b-pre- $\theta$  -converges (ii) to some point of X.

Every filter base on X b-pre- $\theta$  -accumulate at some (iii) point of X.

**Proof.** (i) to (ii): Let  $\Gamma$  be a maximal filter base on X such that  $\Gamma$  does not b-pre- $\theta$  -converge to any point of X . Since  $\Gamma$  is maximal,  $\Gamma$  does not b-pre-heta -accumulate at any point of X . Thus, for each  $x \in X$  exists  $F_x \in \Gamma$  and a b-open subset  $U_x$ of X such that  $x \in U_x$  and  $(pclU_x) \cap F_x = \emptyset$ , but X is B-closed, so there exists  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} pclU_{x_{i}}$  . Since  $\Gamma$  is a filter base on X , there exists  $F \in \Gamma$  such that  $F \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} F_{x_i}$ , but  $(pclU_{x_i}) \cap F_{x_i} = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , so  $(pclU_{x_i}) \cap F = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , i.e.  $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} pclU_{x_{i}}\right) \cap F = X \cap F = F = \emptyset$ , a

contradiction.

(ii) to (iii): Let  $\Gamma$  be a filter base on X . Then  $\Gamma$  is contained in a maximal filter base  $\Upsilon$  on X .

By (ii),  $\Upsilon$  b-pre- $\theta$  -converges to some point x of X , thus  $\Upsilon$  b-pre- $\theta$  -accumulates at x , but  $\Gamma \subset \Upsilon$  , so  $\Gamma$  b-pre- $\theta$  accumulate at X.

(iii) to (ii): Suppose that X is not B-closed. Then by Proposition 2.8, there exists a b-open cover  $u = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of X

such that for any finite subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  ,

$$\bigcap \left\{ p \operatorname{int} \left( X \setminus U_{\alpha} \right) : \alpha \in \Lambda_{0} \right\} \neq \emptyset \text{ . For each finite subset} \\ \Lambda_{0} \text{ of } \Lambda \text{ , let } F_{\Lambda_{0}} = \bigcap \left\{ p \operatorname{int} \left( X \setminus U_{\alpha} \right) : \alpha \in \Lambda_{0} \right\} \text{ . Then}$$

 $\Gamma = \{F_{\Lambda_0} : \Lambda_0 \text{ is a finite subset of } \Lambda\}$  is a filter base

on X . Since  ${\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}}$  is a b-open cover of X , there exists  $\alpha_{_0}\in\Lambda\;$  such that  $x\;\in U_{_{\alpha_{_0}}}\;$  , but  $\Gamma\;$  b-pre-  $\theta\;$  -accumulates at

$$x$$
, so $(pclU_{x_i}) \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for every  $F \in \Gamma$ . Let  
 $F = p \operatorname{int}(X \setminus U_{\alpha_0})$ . Then  $F \in \Gamma$  and thus  
 $(pclU_{x_i}) \cap (p \operatorname{int}(X \setminus U_{\alpha_0})) \neq \emptyset$  a contradiction

## 3. Fuzzy b-close Spases

**Definition 3.1.** [7] For two fuzzy subsets  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of X , the fuzzy subset  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$  is defined by

 $(\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x) = \bigvee \{ \mu_1(x_1) \land \mu_2(x_2) \mid x = x_1 + x_2 \}.$ 

And for a scalar t of K and a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of X , the fuzzy subset  $t \mu$  is defined by

$$(t \mu)(x) = \begin{cases} \mu(x/t) & \text{if } t \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } x \neq 0.\\ \lor \{\mu(y) \mid y \in X\} & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3.2.** [5]  $\mu \in I^x$  is said to be,

1. convex if 
$$t \mu + (1-t) \mu \subseteq \mu$$
 for each  $t \in [0,1]$   
2. balanced if  $t \mu \subseteq \mu$  for each  $t \in K$  with  $|t| \le 1$   
3. absorbing if  $\lor \{t \mu(x) | t > 0\} = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 3.3.** [5] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\omega(\tau) = \{ f : (X, \tau) \to [0, 1] | \text{ f is lower semicontinuous} \},\$ 

then  $\omega(\tau)$  is a fuzzy topology on X. This topology is called the fuzzy topology generated by  $\tau$  on X. The fuzzy usual topology on K means the fuzzy topology generated by the usual topology of K.

$$n \ge M$$
 implies  $\frac{t}{2} \rho(x_n - x) > 1 - \varepsilon$ 

therefore

$$n \ge M$$
 implies  $P_{1-\varepsilon}(x_n - x) \le \frac{t}{2} < t$ .

Definition 3.4. [5] A fuzzy linear topology on a vector space X over K is a fuzzy topology on X such that the two mappings

+ : 
$$X \times X \to X$$
,  $(x, y) \to x + y$   
. :  $K \times X \to X$ ,  $(t, x) \to tx$ 

Are continuous when K has the fuzzy usual topology and  $K \times X$  and  $X \times X$  have the corresponding product fuzzy topologies. A linear space with a fuzzy linear topology is called a fuzzy topological linear space or a fuzzy topological vector space. **Definition 3.5.** [5] Let x be a point in a fuzzy topological space X. A family F of neighborhood of x is called a base for the system of all neighborhoods of x if for each neighborhood  $\mu$ of x and each  $0 < \theta < \mu(x)$ , there exists  $\mu_1 \in F$  with  $\mu_1 \leq \mu$  and  $\mu_1(x) > \theta$ .

**Definition 3.6.** [6] A fuzzy semi norm on X is a fuzzy set  $\rho$  in X which is convex, balanced and absorbing. If in addition  $\wedge \{(t_{\rho})(x) | t > 0\}$  for  $x \neq 0$ , then  $\rho$  is called a fuzzy norm.

**Definition 3.7.** [6] If  $\rho$  is a fuzzy semi norm on X, then the family  $B_{\rho} = \left\{ \theta \land (t_{\rho}) \mid 0 < \theta \le 1, t > 0 \right\}$  is a base at zero for a fuzzy linear topology  $au_{
ho}\,$  . The fuzzy topology  $au_{
ho}\,$  is called the fuzzy topology induced by the fuzzy semi norm  $\rho$  . And a linear space equipped with a fuzzy semi norm is called a fuzzy semi normed linear space.

**Definition 3.8.** [8] Let  $\rho$  be a fuzzy semi norm on X.  $P_{\mathcal{E}}: X \to R_+$  Is defined by

$$P_{\varepsilon}(x) = \wedge \left\{ t > 0 \mid t \, \rho(x) > \varepsilon \right\}$$

For each  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** [8] The  $P_{\varepsilon}$  is a semi norm on X for each  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ . Further  $P_{\varepsilon}$  is norm on X for each  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  if and only if  $\rho$  is a fuzzy norm on X.

**Definition 3.10.** A fts X is said to be fuzzy b-closed iff for every family  $\lambda$  of fuzzy b-open set such that  $\bigvee_{A \in \lambda} A = l_x$  there is that

a finite subfamily 
$$\delta \subseteq \lambda$$
 such that  
 $\begin{pmatrix} \bigvee bCl(A) \end{pmatrix} (x) = 1_x$ , for every  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 3.11.** A fuzzy set U in a fts X is said to be fuzzy bclosed relative to X iff for every family  $\lambda$  of fuzzy b-open set such that  $\bigvee_{A \in \lambda} A = \mathbf{1}_x$  there is a finite subfamily  $\delta \subseteq \lambda$  such

that that  $\bigvee_{A \in \delta} bCl(A)(x) = U(x)$ , for every  $x \in S(U)$ .

Remark 3.12. Every fuzzy b-compact space is fuzzy b-closed, but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 3.13.** A fts X is fuzzy b-closed iff for every fuzzy filterbases  $\Gamma$  in X,  $\left(\bigwedge_{G \in \Gamma} bCl(G)\right) \neq 0_x$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy b-open set cover of X and let for every finite family of  $\mu$ ,  $\bigvee_{A \in \partial} bCl(A)(x) < l_x$  for some

 $x \in X$ . Then  $\left(\bigwedge_{A \in \partial} \overline{bCl(G)}\right)(x) > 0_x$  for some.  $x \in X$ 

Thus  $\left\{\left(\overline{bCl(A)}: A \in \mu\right)\right\} = \Gamma$  forms a fuzzy b-open filterbases in X. Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy b-open set cover of X, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ A \in \mu \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_{X} , \qquad \text{which} \qquad \text{implies}$$

 $\left(\bigwedge_{A \in \mu} bCl\left(\overline{bCl\left(G\right)}\right)\right)(x) = 0_x$ , which is a contradiction. Then

every fuzzy b-open  $\mu$  of X has a finite subfamily  $\partial$  such that

$$\left(\bigvee_{A \in \partial} bCl(A)(x)\right) = \mathbf{1}_{x} \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Hence X is a fuzzy b-closed.

Conversely, suppose there exists a fuzzy b-open filterbases  $\Gamma$  in  $(\alpha)$ 1

X such that 
$$\left(\bigwedge_{G \in \Gamma} bCl(G)\right) = 0_x$$
. That implies

$$\left(\bigvee_{G \in \Gamma} \left(\overline{bCl(G)}\right)\right)(x) = 1_x$$
 for  $x \in X$  and hence

 $\mu = \left\{ \overline{\left(bCl\left(G\right)\right)} : G \in \Gamma \right\} \text{ is a fuzzy b-open set cover of X.}$ Since X is fuzzy b-closed, by definition  $\mu$  has a finite subfamily  $\partial$  such that  $\left( \bigvee_{G \in \partial} bCl\left(\overline{bCl}\left(G\right)\right) \right) (x) = 1_x$  for every  $x \in X$ , and hence  $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \partial} \left( \overline{bCl(G)} \right) = 0_x$ . Thus  $\bigwedge_{G \in \partial} G = 0_x$  is a

contradiction. Hence  $\bigwedge_{G \in \Gamma} bCl(G) \neq 0_x$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** Let  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  be a fuzzy  $b^*$ continuous surjection. If X is fuzzy b-closed space, then Y is fuzzy b-closed space. **Proof.** Let  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a fuzzy b-open cover of Y. Since f is fuzzy b\*-continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(A_{\lambda}): \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is fuzzy b-open

cover of X. By hypothesis, there exists a finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$ such that  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Delta} bCl\left(f^{-1}(A_{\lambda})\right) = 1_x$ . Since f is surjection and by theorem

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{l}_{Y} &= f\left(\mathbf{l}_{x}\right) = f\left(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Delta} bCl\left(f^{-1}\left(A_{\lambda}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Delta} bCl\left(f\left(f^{-1}\left(A_{\lambda}\right) = \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Delta} bCl\left(A_{\lambda}\right)\right)\right) \end{split}$$

Hence Y is fuzzy b-closed space.

#### References

- S. S. Benchalli, & J. Karnel, On Fuzzy b-open sets in Fuzzy [1] Topological Space, J.Computer and Mathematical Sciences., 1 (2010), pp, 27-134.
- [2] R. Chugh, R. & S. Rathi, Weakly compatible maps in fuzzy metric space, Universitatea Din Bacau, Studii Si Cercetari Stiintifice Seria: Matematica Nr., 15 (2005), pp, 31-38.
- M. A. Erceg, Metric space in fuzzy set theory, J. [3] Math.Anal., (1979).
- [4] J. H. Park, Strongly  $\theta$ -b-continuous functions, Acta. Math. Hungar., 110(4) (2006), pp, 347-359.
- [5] A. K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector space I, Fuzzy sets and systems., 6 (1981), pp, 85-95.
- A. K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector space II, Fuzzy [6] sets and systems., 12 (1984), pp, 143-154.
- A. K. Katsaras, Fuzzy vector spaces and fuzzy topological [7] vector spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 58(1977), 135-146.
- [8] S. V. Krishna, & Sarma. K. K. M. Fuzzy topological vector spaces topological generation and normability, Fuzzy sets and systems., 41 (1991), pp, 89-99.
- [9] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control., 8 (1965), pp, 338-353.
- [10] H. R. Moradi, Characterization of fuzzy complete normed space and fuzzy b-complete set, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal., 1(2) (2014), pp, 65-75.
- [11] H. R. Moradi, Bounded and Semi Bounded Inverse Theorems in Fuzzy Normed Spaces, International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications (IJFSA)., 4(2) (2015), pp, 47-55.
- [12] H. R. Moradi, & A. Kamali, on fuzzy strongly g\*-closed sets and g\*\*-closed sets, Int. J. Adv. Appl. Math. and Mech., 2(4) (2015), pp, 13 -17.